## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : CRIMINAL ACTION

: NO. 13-0350

vs.

: CIVIL ACTION

SHAREEM MOORE : NO. 15-5137

## ORDER

**AND NOW**, this 24<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2017, upon consideration of the defendant's motion to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Document #37), the government's response thereto (Document #43), and the defendant's reply brief (Document #44), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The motion is DENIED in its entirety without a hearing.
- 2. The defendant's request to supplement his pending motion pursuant to the recent Supreme Court decision in Johnson v. United States (Document #45) is DENIED.<sup>1</sup>
  - 3. A certificate of appealability shall not issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

The Clerk of Court is directed to mark this case CLOSED for statistical purposes.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lawrence F. Stengel
LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mr. Moore's reliance on the Supreme Court's recent decision in <u>Johnson v. United States</u>, 135 S.Ct. 2551 (2015), is misplaced. Mr. Moore was sentenced pursuant to a plea agreement under Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure which recommended a sentence of seventy-two months' incarceration. Apart from that agreement, Mr. Moore's total offense level was 25, and his criminal history category was IV, yielding an adjusted guideline range of 84-100 months' imprisonment. The <u>Johnson</u> decision held that the "residual clause" in the definition of "violent felony" in the Armed Career Criminal Act, 18 U.S.C. § 924(e), was unconstitutionally vague. Mr. Moore's case did not involve the Armed Career Criminal Act. Accordingly, the Johnson decision can provide Mr. Moore no relief.